

The Negative Dimensional Oscillator at Finite Temperature

Silvio J. Rabello*, Arvind N. Vaidya
and
Luiz Claudio M. de Albuquerque

*Instituto de Física
Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro
Rio de Janeiro RJ
Caixa Postal 68.528-CEP 21945-970
Brasil*

Abstract

We study the thermal behavior of the negative dimensional harmonic oscillator of Dunne and Halliday that at zero temperature, due to a hidden BRST symmetry of the classical harmonic oscillator, is shown to be equivalent to the Grassmann oscillator of Finkelstein and Villasante. At finite temperature we verify that although being described by Grassmann numbers the thermal behavior of the negative dimensional oscillator is quite different from a Fermi system.

*e-mail:IFT10034@UFRJ.BITNET

It is generally accepted that Grassmann variables correspond to negative dimensional degrees of freedom. For example, in the Becchi-Rouet-Stora-Tyutin (BRST) quantization of constrained dynamical systems [1] the ghosts cancel the unphysical degrees of freedom and in the Parisi-Sourlas [2] approach to scalar fields with random sources we have a dimensional reduction by the use of Grassmann variables, what was later extended by McClain et al [3] to gauge and Fermi fields. In their study of Feynman integrals continued to negative dimensions, Dunne and Halliday [4] pointed that the Grassmann Oscillator (GO) of Finkelstein and Villasante [5] share the spectrum and degeneracy of a harmonic oscillator (HO) continued to negative values of the dimension. Also Dunne [6] has shown that it is possible to define negative dimensional classical groups by their action on Grassmann representation spaces. More recently Delbourgo et al [7] studied both the anharmonic Grassmann oscillator and the GO, and used the GO as a guide to construct an improved Dirac equation [8] in a space with both commuting and anticommuting coordinates, obtaining a discrete and finite mass spectrum that could explain the families of quarks and leptons origin.

In this letter we apply the concept of classical path integral of Gozzi [9] and Gozzi et al [10] to the HO, and show that at zero temperature the cancellation of degrees of freedom between the HO and GO is due to a hidden BRST symmetry of the classical HO. At finite temperature we have verified that this BRST symmetry induces a ghost-like thermal behavior for the Grassmann degrees of freedom.

In ref.[5] Finkelstein and Villasante used the Schwinger action principle as a guide to introduce a Grassmann generalization of ordinary quantum mechanics, where the degrees of freedom are elements of a Grassmann algebra. Their approach was different from the previous work on Grassmann and supersymmetric quantum mechanics in the sense that their theory is second order in time derivatives instead of the first order models based on field theoretical fermions. As an example they studied the generalization of the harmonic oscillator by introducing an analogous anticommuting system described by N pairs of canonically conjugate operators $\hat{q}_a$ and $\hat{p}_a$ ($a=1,...N$) with the Halmiltonian operator and anticommutation relations given by:

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\hat{p}_a C_{ab}^{-1} \hat{p}_b + \frac{\omega^2}{2}\hat{q}_a C_{ab} \hat{q}_b. \quad (1)$$

and ($\hbar = 1$)

$$[\hat{q}_a, \hat{p}_b]_+ = -i\delta_{ab}, \quad [\hat{q}_a, \hat{q}_b]_+ = 0, \quad [\hat{p}_a, \hat{p}_b]_+ = 0 \quad (2)$$

Here there is a summation over repeated indices from 1 to N, C is a hermitian antisymmetric matrix chosen to make $\hat{H}$ self conjugate, and as an antisymmetric matrix has an inverse only for even dimensions, we are restricted to even values of N. For an isotropic oscillator we can always put C in a block-diagonal form, with block elements given by the Pauli matrix σ_2 :

$$\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

Due to the fact that conjugation reverses the order of the operators we have that $\hat{q}_a$ and $\hat{p}_a$ cannot be both self conjugate and still satisfy (2), so we choose $\hat{q}_a = \hat{q}_a^\dagger$ and $\hat{p}_a = -\hat{p}_a^\dagger$.

The Heisenberg equations of motion are given by i times the commutator of $\hat{H}$ with $\hat{q}_a$ and $\hat{p}_a$ as in ordinary quantum mechanics:

$$\frac{d\hat{q}_a}{dt} = i[\hat{H}, \hat{q}_a]_- = C_{ab}^{-1} \hat{p}_b, \quad \frac{d\hat{p}_a}{dt} = i[\hat{H}, \hat{p}_a]_- = -\omega^2 C_{ab} \hat{q}_b \quad (4)$$

which have oscillatory solutions of frequency ω as for the bosonic HO.

To see how the degrees of freedom of the GO and the HO cancel each other we study a system where both of them are present, namely the path integral formulation for the classical mechanics of the HO. This formulation of classical mechanics was introduced by Gozzi [9] and later developed by Gozzi et al [10], as a functional formulation of classical mechanics. Their starting point was as in quantum field theory to write the generating functional as a functional integral over all trajectories but with weight ‘one’ for the classical paths and weight ‘zero’ to all the others. A representation of this generating functional for a classical system with n degrees of freedom $x_i(t)$ and action $S[x]$, is given by:

$$Z_{Class.}[\mathbf{J}] = \int [d\mathbf{x}] \delta[\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{class.}] e^{\int_0^T dt \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \quad (5)$$

Where the functional delta function ensures that only the paths $\mathbf{x}_{class.}(t)$ satisfying $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \mathbf{x}} = 0$ will contribute to the correlation functions obtained by

functional differentiation with respect to the external current $\mathbf{J}$, that is turned on in the interval $0 \leq t \leq T$. An equivalent description is:

$$Z_{Class.}[\mathbf{J}] = \int [d\mathbf{x}] \delta\left[\frac{\delta S}{\delta \mathbf{x}}\right] \parallel \det\left(\frac{\delta^2 S}{\delta x_i(t)\delta x_j(t')}\right) \parallel e^{\int_0^T dt \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \quad (6)$$

Using a functional Fourier transform for the delta function and the Faddeev-Popov trick to handle the determinant we get:

$$Z_{Class.}[\mathbf{J}] = \int [d\mathbf{x}][d\lambda][d\bar{c}][dc] e^{iS_{eff} + \int_0^T dt \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \quad (7)$$

where

$$S_{eff} = \int_0^T dt \left\{ \lambda_a \frac{\delta S}{\delta x_a} - i\bar{c}_a \frac{\delta^2 S}{\delta x^2} c_a \right\}, \quad (8)$$

$\lambda_a(t)$ being a real c-number field and the ghosts $c_a(t)$ and $\bar{c}_a(t)$ are real Grassmann fields.

What we have here is a very interesting situation in which the above generating functional seems to describe a quantum mechanical problem for the extended configuration space of the variables x_a , λ_a , c_a and $\bar{c}_a$ with the above S_{eff} , although in the x_a subspace we have a classical system with $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \mathbf{x}} = 0$. The truth is that the resulting ‘quantum’ system is in fact a topological field theory with zero degrees of freedom. To understand this point notice that S_{eff} has a rigid BRST symmetry:

$$\delta x_a = \epsilon c_a, \quad \delta c_a = 0, \quad \delta \bar{c}_a = -i\epsilon \lambda_a, \quad \delta \lambda_a = 0 \quad (9)$$

and also an anti-BRST one

$$\bar{\delta} x_a = -\bar{\epsilon} \bar{c}_a, \quad \bar{\delta} c_a = -i\bar{\epsilon} \lambda_a, \quad \bar{\delta} \bar{c}_a = 0, \quad \bar{\delta} \lambda_a = 0 \quad (10)$$

where $\bar{\epsilon}$ and ϵ are Grassmann parameters and obviously $\delta^2 = \bar{\delta}^2 = 0$. The above invariances (9) and (10) are generated respectively by the operators $\mathbf{s}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{s}}$, defined by:

$$\delta \Phi = \epsilon \mathbf{s} \Phi, \quad \bar{\delta} \Phi = \bar{\epsilon} \bar{\mathbf{s}} \Phi \quad (11)$$

where Φ is any functional of the extended configuration space variables.

Using the above results it is easy to see that S_{eff} can be written as a BRST variation:

$$S_{eff} = i \int_0^T dt \, \mathbf{s} \left(\bar{c}_a \frac{\delta S}{\delta x_a} \right) \quad (12)$$

We can now verify that our generating functional describes a topological theory. Recalling the BRST quantization of constrained systems [1], we see that S_{eff} is just a gauge fixing term for a theory of topological action $S_{\text{Top}}[\mathbf{x}] = 0$ and invariant under the local transformation $x_a(t) \rightarrow x_a(t) + \delta x_a(t)$ with arbitrary $\delta x_a(t)$. In other words the system defined in the extended configuration space $(x_a, \lambda_a, c_a, \bar{c}_a)$ is insensitive to arbitrary deformations of the path $x_a(t)$ and it is in that sense that we call it topological.

Let us now consider the classical path integral for a n-dimensional harmonic oscillator of unit mass, with action:

$$S = \int_0^T dt \, \frac{1}{2} (\dot{\mathbf{x}}^2 - \omega^2 \mathbf{x}^2) \quad (13)$$

For this system the S_{eff} reads:

$$S_{eff} = - \int_0^T dt \, \left\{ \lambda_a \left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \omega^2 \right) x_a - i \bar{c}_a \left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \omega^2 \right) c_a \right\} \quad (14)$$

As we can see our S_{eff} describes a 2n-dimensional bosonic oscillator in the variables $\lambda_a(t)$ and $x_a(t)$ and a 2n-dimensional fermionic oscillator in the ghost variables $c_a(t)$ and $\bar{c}_a(t)$. To see how this ghost oscillator is related to the Grassmann oscillator we identify

$$\bar{c}_a = q_{2a-1}, \quad c_a = q_{2a}, \quad a = 1, \dots, n \quad (15)$$

so that if we set $2n=N$ we get the Grassman oscillator action, up to a total time derivative.

Our conclusion is that the GO can be thought as the ghost part of the BRST quantization of a topological action $S_{\text{Top}}[\mathbf{x}] = 0$ with the gauge condition that the $x_a(t)$ obey the harmonic oscillator classical equations of motion. As this topological action has zero degrees of freedom, it is legitimate to think of the GO as a negative dimensional system. This cancellation of degrees of freedom works as long as the HO+GO system displays the BRST and anti-BRST invariances (9) and (10). In ref. [4] a similar graded symmetry in the (x, q) sector was pointed as the responsible for the positivity of the HO+GO quantum mechanical spectrum, in ref. [2] a supersymmetry was found to be

responsible for the dimensional reduction of a scalar field theory in the presence of random external sources, and in ref. [3] this dimensional reduction mechanism was extended to gauge and Fermi fields.

The partition function for the negative dimensional HO can be obtained by setting $N \rightarrow -N$ in the partition function of a N -dimensional HO, in equilibrium with a heat bath at inverse temperature β ($\beta = 1/kT$), [13]:

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{HO}(\beta; -N) &= \left(2 \sinh(\beta \frac{\omega}{2}) \right)^N \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N \binom{N}{n} (-1)^n e^{-\beta \omega(n - \frac{N}{2})} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where we can identify the energy spectrum E_n and degeneracy g_n of the Grassmann oscillator [4,5]:

$$E_n = \omega(n - \frac{N}{2}) \quad n = 0, \dots, N \quad (17)$$

$$g_n = \binom{N}{n} \quad (18)$$

The $(-1)^n$ factor comes from the normalization of the GO energy eigenstates $|n, i\rangle$, $i=1, \dots, g_n$:

$$\langle n, i | m, j \rangle = (-1)^n \delta_{nm} \delta_{ij} \quad (19)$$

In terms of the above eigenstates and the ‘position’ eigenstates $|q\rangle$, the partition function can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{HO}(\beta; -N) &= \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{i=1}^{g_n} \langle n, i | e^{-\beta \hat{H}} | n, i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{i=1}^{g_n} \int d^N q \int d^N q' \langle n, i | q \rangle \langle q | e^{-\beta \hat{H}} | q' \rangle \langle q' | n, i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{i=1}^{g_n} \int d^N q \int d^N q' (-1)^n \langle q' | n, i \rangle \langle n, i | q \rangle \langle q | e^{-\beta \hat{H}} | q' \rangle \\ &= \int d^N q \langle q | e^{-\beta \hat{H}} | q \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

the $(-1)^n$ factor reappeared in the sum because the GO wave function [5] ($\bar{q}_\alpha = q_\beta C_{\beta\alpha}$),

$$\langle q|n, i\rangle = \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{\frac{1}{2}\omega\bar{q}q} \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{q}_{\alpha_1}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{q}_{\alpha_n}} e^{-\omega\bar{q}q} \quad (21)$$

has Grassmann parity $n \bmod 2$.

From the above considerations we are led to the conclusion that the partition function can be obtained by setting $t=-i\beta$ in the propagator for the GO and integrating on q (in the Berezin sense) with the boundary condition:

$$q_a(\beta) = q_a(0) \quad (22)$$

We see that although the negative dimensions have an interpretation in terms of elements of a Grassmann algebra, their behavior at finite temperature is quite different from a fermionic system that must be anti-periodic in β [12]. It is easy to understand this ghost-like behavior of negative dimensions by noticing that if the positive and negative dimensional degrees of freedom satisfied different boundary conditions, there would be no way to preserve both the BRST and anti-BRST rigid symmetries, since once we set periodic boundary conditions for the bosonic fields the relations (9) and (10) will fix the boundary conditions of the Grassmann fields as periodic. In the case of supersymmetric theories the different boundary conditions of bosons and fermions leads to a spontaneous supersymmetry breaking at finite temperature [11].

To see how the cancellation of degrees of freedom takes place we have that the product of the partition functions for both the positive and negative dimensional HO gives the identity, so we are dealing with a topological field theory over the circle S^1 with length β , and the observables obtained from $Z(\beta)$ will be independent of the ‘metric’ factor β .

We have verified that the connection of the Grassmann oscillator to the harmonic oscillator continued to negative values of the dimension is due to a rigid BRST symmetry, that allows one to see the HO+GO system as a topological theory, and also that in order to describe a negative dimensional HO at finite temperature by the use of Grassmann degrees of freedom we must preserve the imaginary time periodic boundary condition of the positive dimensional HO, expressing in that way the ghost-like character of negative dimensions.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Dr Carlos Farina de Souza for reading the manuscript and for many stimulating discussions. This work was partially supported by CNPq (S.J.Rabello and A.N. Vaidya) and CAPES (L.C.M. de Albuquerque).

References

- [1] A.J. Niemi, Phys. Rep. **184** (1989) 147; M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, *Quantization of Gauge Systems*, Princeton University Press, 1992
- [2] G. Parisi and N. Surlas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43** (1979) 744
- [3] B. MacClain, A. Niemi, C.Taylor and L.C.R. Wijewardhana, Phys. Rev. Lett.**49** (1982) 252
- [4] G.V. Dunne and I. Halliday, Phys. Lett. **193B** (1987) 247;Nucl. Phys. **B308** (1988) 589
- [5] R. Finkelstein and M. Villasante, Phys. Rev. **D33** (1986) 1666
- [6] G.V. Dunne, J. Phys. **A22** (1989) 1719
- [7] R. Delbourgo, L.M. Jones and M. White, Phys. Rev. **D40** (1989) 2716; **D41** (1990) 679
- [8] R. Delbourgo and L.M. Jones, Aust. J. Phys **45** (1992) 621
- [9] E. Gozzi, Phys. Lett. **201B** (1988) 525
- [10] E. Gozzi, M. Reuter and W.D. Thacker, Phys. Rev. **D40** (1989) 3363; **D46** (1992) 757; E. Gozzi and M. Reuter, Phys. Lett. **240B** (1990) 137;
- [11] A. Das, Physica **A 158** (1989) 1
- [12] R.J. Rivers, *Path Integral Methods in Quantum Field Theory*,Cambridge University Press, 1987
- [13] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics*, Pergamon Press Ltd, 1980